## FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

## WORKSHEET OF OPTIONS FOR CONDUCTING REVIEW

OPTIONS	STRUCTURE	CHARGE	FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS	GROUP COMMENTS
Option 1. COA in leadership role, form working group of 12-15 individuals to develop redesign plan over the next six to nine months.	Working group consists of: 1) 2 reps from higher ed chosen by segments; 2) 2 reps from K-12 school districts or COEs that have CCTC-approved teacher ed programs; 3) 2 reps K-12 ed community, including teachers and administrators; 4) the COA; 5) 2 CCTC staff.	Review existing framework, AIR evaluation, contextual factors, and recommend to the Commission within two months the: a) goals of the redesign process; b) workplan for completing the design within six to nine months; c) process for involving all stakeholders in the redesign.	Each segment represented on working group commits supporting costs of their segmental participation in redesign process.	COMMENTS
Option 2. COA in leadership role. Establish issue-oriented workgroups comprised of COA membership and stakeholders.  COA and workgroups meet jointly, 8 meetings	COA would meet 8 times (every 4-6 weeks) in conjunction with issue-oriented workgroups. Workgroup meetings would be embedded in COA meetings. COA and workgroups would begin as large group, break out into issue-oriented workgroups, and reconvene as whole group at the end of day. Structure would help ensure workgroup efforts were well coordinated and consistent with the priorities of COA and stakeholder groups.	To be discussed. COA in steering role. Charge to workgroups would depend on topic.	Commission resources to support 8 COA meetings required. Segmental representatives would support the cost of their participation.	

OPTIONS	STRUCTURE	CHARGE	FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS	GROUP COMMENTS
Option 3. COA in leadership role. Establish issue-oriented workgroups comprised of COA membership and stakeholders.  Combination of joint COA/workgroup meetings (4) and some workgroup apart from COA meetings. COA membership involvement in all workgroup meetings	Four COA meetings with embedded workgroup meetings would be supplemented by additional workgroup meetings (held separate from COA meetings) Structure for embedded meetings would be the same as in Option 2. One to two COA members would participate in workgroup meetings.  Ensures some level of coordination between workgroups and COA.	To be discussed. COA in steering role. Charge to workgroups would depend on topic.	Commission resources to support 4 COA meetings required. Segmental representatives would support cost of their participation. Commission to cover the cost of 1-2 COA members on each workgroup for the 4 separate workgroup meetings.	COMMENTS
Option 4. COA in leadership role. Establish issue-oriented workgroups comprised of COA membership and stakeholders.  Combination of joint COA/workgroup meetings (4) and workgroup meetings apart from COA meetings. COA membership on workgroups optional.  Option 5. Group	Same structure as option 3 with the exception that COA participation on workgroup meetings outside of COA meetings would be optional.  Coordination among workgroups and COA would be more challenging under this option.	To be discussed. COA in steering role. Charge to workgroups would depend on topic.	Commission resources to support 4 COA meetings required. Segmental representatives would support cost of their participation. Participation by COA membership at workgroup meetings would not be covered by the Commission.	
Generated Options				